

**L** 410.484.7200 **=** 410.484.3060

□ nirc@nirc.edu

## קריאת התורה בראש חודש

הרב שעפטל נויברגר

In Parshas Pinchas we find the (במדבר פרק כח), which begins with the kriah we layin every Rosh Chodesh. The pesukim we layin for Rosh Chodesh is the parsha of the korban tomid, which is comprised of eight *pesukim*.

The Gemara in :מסי מגילה דף כג asks how we can make three aliyos out of only eight pesukim. The Gemara answers by saying what the Anshei Ma'amad did during the week of Parshas Bereishis: On the first day of the week, for the first two aliyos they would layin the parsha of "בראשית ברא" and for the third aliya they *layined* that of ייבראשית there are only five *pesukim*. The Gemara brings ייבראשית there are only five *pesukim*. that Ray and Shmuel have a machlokes how to make two aliyos out of these five pesukim; one says to split the middle posuk into two so each aliya gets its own three pesukim, while the other holds to repeat the middle posuk in the second aliya.

So, the Gemara comes out that we should do the same thing by Rosh Chodesh. The Levi repeats the last posuk from the Kohen's aliya (ייואמרת עליהם...יי). The Shelishi starts from ייעולת תמידיי and includes the two pesukim of "יוביום השבתי". The Gemara goes through the cheshbon of why there is no other option in how to use the eight *pesukim* for three *alivos*.

L'ma'aseh, there is a beraisa in מסכת סופרים which argues with the בבלי. That beraisa says to read four pesukim for each the Kohen and Levi aliyos, and then for the Shelishi to repeat from ייעולת תמידיי through the two *pesukim* of ייוביום השבתי. This is how the Gaon holds *l'halacha*, and that's exactly what they do in Eretz Yisroel as well.

The question which bothers me is, what is the *peshat* in the *machlokes?* What is the issue here with the difference of *mehalchim* in *layining* for Rosh Chodesh? I think this also brings up issues in other places.

On Chanukah, our *minhag* is to split that day's *pesukim* into two *aliyos* and then read the next day's pesukim for the third aliya. For example, on the second day we divide יינביום השניי into two aliyos and then read יינביום השלישיי for the third aliya, even though it is only the second day of Chanukah. There is no sefeka diyoma involved so there is not a specific necessity to read ייוביום, we only do so for a requisite third aliva. Again, in Eretz Yisroel they do not do this. They simply lavin יינביום השניי over again.

This is puzzling to me. I understand that sometimes we have to read different days because of sefeka diyoma (e.g. Chol Hamo'ed Sukkos). Why should we not just layin ייוביום השניי over again on Chanukah! Why don't we do the same as Eretz Yisroel?

There is another place where this *machlokes* crops up and that is, how to *layin* on Chol Hamo'ed Sukkos in Chutz la'Aretz. In Eretz Yisroel, where there is no sefeka diyoma, they layin ייוביום השניי four times, and then so on for the next few days. We in *Chutz la'Aretz*, however, *layin "יוביום* for the first *aliya,* יוביום, for the first *aliya,* יוביום for the second, ייוביום הרביעייי for the third *aliya*, and then repeat both ייוביום השנייי and ייוביום השלישייי for the fourth aliva.

Tosafos in מסכת סוכה דף נה has a different take than us. He says to read "יוביום השניי for the first aliva, יוביום השלישיי for the second, back to ייוביום השנייי for the third, and then ייוביום השלישייי again for the fourth. Dus haste, you repeat that day's layining instead of going to ייוביום הרביעיי. The question is, why didn't we ever adopt Tosafos' mehalech for krias haTorah on Chol Hamo'ed?

I believe that there is a very *yesodosdigge machlokes* at work here. The Gemara brought the *rayuh* from the Anshei Ma'amad, and lichora they did what they did because they wanted to layin the day of the week that they were zoche to stand by the korban tamid, to be in the Beis Hamikdosh to represent Klal Yisroel. The Gemara says that for the third *aliya* they read יייהי רקיעיי. Why didn't they just repeat ייבראשית בראיי. While we have to split the five *pesukim* for the first two *aliyos*, why not just read them all again for the third *aliya*?

The answer is that for whatever reason, the בבלי is *makpid* that the *Shelishi* must read fresh *pesukim* for his aliya. They cannot be a repeat of pesukim which were already layined in a previous aliya. That is why the Gemara comes out that for Rosh Chodesh we repeat a *posuk* only in the second *aliva* and go to new *pesukim*  for the third. *Memayla,* that is also why on Chol Hamo'ed Sukkos we read ייוביום הרביעיי for the third *aliya* and only repeat *pesukim* by the fourth *aliya*. The *Shelishi* has to have his own *kriah*.

On the other hand, the *beraisa* in מסכת סופרים does not subscribe to this *klal*. That is why it says that the Levi can finish up "עולת תמיד" completely, and the *Shelishi* repeats from "עולת תמיד". (We cannot say that the two *pesukim* of "וביום השבת" make it qualify as a new *kriah* because that is not enough to be a *kriah* in and of itself. Therefore, it won't count as being a special *kriah* for *Shelishi*.)

This will also be *pshat* in the Tosafos in סוכת. Tosafos seems to be going with the *shita* of the מסכת. Therefore, he holds that you can repeat the day's *pesukim* during the *Shelishi* and *Revi'i aliyos*. This is also why in Eretz Yisroel they repeat *pesukim* for the *Shelishi* both on Rosh Chodesh and on Chol Hamo'ed Sukkos. However, we in *Chutz la'Aretz* who learn like the בבלי and have the *mekor* from the *Anshei Ma'amad*, read fresh *pesukim* for the *Shelishi* in all three instances.